

Appl. No. 10/627,785  
Amdt Dated Sep. 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action Jun. 1, 2005

### **REMARKS**

Applicant automatically amends two typos in paragraph [0018] of the specification regarding the reference number for the second locking arm and the cap so that the disclosures are consistent with the Drawings of the present invention.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Choudhury et al (US Patent 6,058,014). Claims 6, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choudhury et al in view of Sloan et al (US Patent 6,462,945). Claims 2-3 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to the rejections, Applicant has amended claims 1, 10 and 18, cancelled claim 19, and added claims 20-21.

Applicant submits that claims 1-18 and claims 20-21 are now allowable over Choudhury et al, Sloan et al and all of the other cited references.

Applicant submits that neither Choudhury et al, Sloan et al nor any of the other cited references, alone or in combination, teaches, discloses, or otherwise suggests the invention as currently set forth in claim 1 or claim 10, as amended.

With respect to the amended claim 1 and claim 10, they each expressly define that the post having a pressing portion at a bottom thereof fittingly received in a mating portion defined in the heat sink. Neither Choudhury et al nor Sloan et al discloses that the pressing portion 164/38 is fittingly received in the heat sink 105/42 thereof and the heat sink 105/42 defines

Appl. No. 10/627,785  
Amdt Dated Sep. 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action Jun. 1, 2005

**a mating portion fittingly receiving the pressing portion 164/38.**

Accordingly, Choudhury et al and Sloan et al clearly fail to teach or suggest claim 1 and claim 10, as amended.


Regarding claim 18, applicant has made an amendment by incorporating all of the limitations of claim 19 to claim 18. Thus, the currently amended claim 18 should be allowable according to Examiner's opinions.

Particularly, newly added claims 20-21 each clearly define that **the mating portion of the heat sink is a blind hole**. Neither Choudhury et al nor Sloan et al **discloses the heat sink having a blind hole for fittingly receiving the pressing portion thereof**. Therefore, claims 20-21 are patentable over Choudhury et al, Sloan et al and all of the other cited references set forth in the Office Action.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that claim 1, claim 10, claim 18 and those claims depending therefrom, are now in condition for allowance over Choudhury et al, Sloan et al and the other cited references, the allowance of which is hereby respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee et al.

By   
Wei Te Chung

Appl. No. 10/627,785  
Amdt Dated Sep. 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action Jun. 1, 2005

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137